IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
Criminal Application No.843 of 2007

Shri Lakhi (Kari) N. Makhija — Applicant
Vs.
State of Maharashtra & anr. — Respondents

Mr.M.J.Puranik for applicant.
Mr.P.A.Pol, APP for State.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE, J.

July 27, 2007.

P.C.

. Heard Mr.Puranik, the learned counsel for the applicant - original complainant. Though he made an application for directions to undertake further investigation under Section 173(8) of Cr.P.C. and the same has been rejected, his limited grievance is that the taped cassettes which are already before the Court along with the charge-sheet submitted by the I.O., are required to be referred to an appropriate agency to confirm or otherwise the voice of the accused which has been taped as per the complainant. During the course of the trial it is for the learned APP appearing before the trial Court to take appropriate steps to ensure that the cassettes are referred to an expert agency to record its opinion on the voice or voices so recorded.

. Hence this petition need not be entertained and I have noted the undertaking furnished before me on behalf of the State that the learned APP in Criminal Case No.408 of 2004 will take appropriate steps to apply before the trial Court. This shall be done without any further delay.

. Petition disposed off accordingly.

(B.H.MARL